STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (REV. 12/2008)

See SAM Section 6601 - 6616 for Instructions and Code Citations

DEPARTMENT NAME	LOONITA OT DEDOON					
Fish and Game	CONTACT PERSON Rob Allen	TELEPHONE NUMBER 916-651-9953				
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400	Roo Allen	PRODUCTION CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR				
Lobsters Hoop Net, Title 14, Section 29.80		NOTICE FILE NUMBER 7				
ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT						
A SCHMATED DDIVATE SECTOR COOT IMPACTO (I.e.), I.e., I						
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPACTS (Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.)						
Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate whether this regulation:						
a. Impacts businesses and/or employees	a. Impacts businesses and/or employees e. Imposes reporting requirements					
b. Impacts small businesses f. Imposes prescriptive ins		Imposes prescriptive instead of performance				
c. Impacts jobs or occupations	g.	Impacts individuals				
d. Impacts California competitiveness h. None of the above (Explain below. Complete the Fiscal Impact Statement as appropriate.)						
h. (cont.) The hoop net definitions a	are not expected to have a negative	fiscal impact on the fishery.				
(If any box in Items 1 a through g is checked	I, complete this Economic Impact Stater	nent.)				
2. Enter the total number of businesses impacted: Describe the types of businesses (Include nonprofits.):						
Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small businesses:						
3. Enter the number of businesses that will be created	-	oted:				
o. Enter the number of businesses that will be dreated	eminic	atea				
Explain:						
4. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts:	Statewide Local or regional (Li	st areas.):				
_						
5. Enter the number of jobs created: or elimi	nated: Describe the types of j	obs or occupations impacted:				
6. Will the regulation affect the ability of California businesses to compete with other states by making it more costly to produce goods or services here?						
Yes Vo If yes, expla	in briefly:					
<u> </u>						
B. ESTIMATED COSTS (Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.)						
1. What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses and individuals may incur to comply with this regulation over its lifetime? \$						
a. Initial costs for a small business: \$		\$ Years:				
b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$	_ Annual ongoing costs:	\$ Years:				
c. Initial costs for an individual: \$	_ Annual ongoing costs:	\$Years:				
d. Describe other economic costs that may occur:						

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont. (STD. 399, Rev. 12/2008)

3. If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the annual costs a typical business may incur to comply with these requirements, (include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping, reporting, and other paperwork, whether or not the paperwork must be submitted.): \$ 4. Will this regulation directly impact housing costs? Yes No If yes, enter the annual dollar cost per housing unit: and the number of units: and the need for State regulation given the existence or absence of Federal regulations: and the need for State regulation given the existence or absence of Federal regulations:	2. If multiple industries are impacted, enter	the share of total costs for ea	ich industry:	N/A	
4. Will this regulation directly impact housing costs?					,
Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that may be due to State - Federal differences: \$ C. ESTIMATED BENEFITS (Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.) 1. Briefly summarize the benefits that may result from this regulation and who will benefit: N/A 2. Are the benefits the result of: specific statutory requirements, or goals developed by the agency based on broad statutory authority? Explain: 3. What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its lifetime? \$ D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION (Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.) 1. List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alternatives were considered, explain why not: 2. Summarize the total statewide costs and benefits from this regulation and each alternative considered: Regulation: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Alternative 1: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Alternative 3: Cost: \$ Alternative 4: Cost: \$ Alternative 5: Cost: \$ Alternative 5: Cost: \$ Alternative 6: Cost: \$ Alternative 7: Cost: \$ Alternative 6: Cost: \$ Alternative 7: Cost: \$ Alternative 7: Cost: \$ Alternative 8: Cost: \$ Alternative 7: Cost: \$ Alternative 8: Cost: \$ Alternative 7: Cost: \$ Alternative 8: Cost: \$ Alternative	4. Will this regulation directly impact hous		_		
Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that may be due to State - Federal differences: \$ C. ESTIMATED BENEFITS (Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.) 1. Briefly summarize the benefits that may result from this regulation and who will benefit: N/A	5. Are there comparable Federal regulation				ence or absence of Federal
1. Briefly summarize the benefits that may result from this regulation and who will benefit: N/A	-				
2. Are the benefits the result of: specific statutory requirements, or goals developed by the agency based on broad statutory authority? Explain: 3. What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its lifetime? \$ D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION (include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.) 1. List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alternatives were considered, explain why not: Regulation: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Alternative 1: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Alternative 3: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Alternative 5: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Alternative 6: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Alternative 7: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Alternative 8: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Alternative 9: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Alternative 1: Benefit: \$ Cost:	C. ESTIMATED BENEFITS (Estimation of	the dollar value of benefits is r	not specifical	y required by rulemaking law, but encoura	ged.)
2. Summarize the total statewide costs and benefits from this regulation and each alternative considered: Regulation: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Cost	Briefly summarize the benefits that may	result from this regulation and	l who will ber		
3. What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its lifetime? \$ D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION (Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.) 1. List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alternatives were considered, explain why not: 2. Summarize the total statewide costs and benefits from this regulation and each alternative considered: Regulation: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Alternative 1: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Alternative 3. Benefit: \$ Cost:	_				pad statutory authority?
2. Summarize the total statewide costs and benefits from this regulation and each alternative considered: Regulation: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Alternative 1: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ 3. Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives:	What are the total statewide benefits fro ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATIO	m this regulation over its lifetin	me?\$		dollar value of benefits is not
Regulation: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$	List alternatives considered and describ	e them below. If no alternative	s were consi	dered, explain why not:	
Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$ 3. Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives:		D			
3. Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives:	Alternative 1:	Benefit: \$		Cost: \$	
	Alternative 2:	Benefit: \$		Cost: \$	
4. Rulemaking law, requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or	Briefly discuss any quantification issues	that are relevant to a compari	son of estima	ted costs and benefits for this regulation o	or alternatives:
equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs? Yes No	•	•		•	
Explain:	Explain:				
E. MAJOR REGULATIONS (Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.) Cal/EPA boards, offices, and departments are subject to the	F MA IOR REGULATIONS (Include cale	culations and accumptions in	the rulemal	ing record) Cal/EPA hoards offices or	nd departments are subject to the

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont. (STD. 399, Rev. 12/2008)

1. Will the estimated	costs of this regulation to California business enterprise	es exceed \$10 million? Yes	No (If No, skip the rest of this section.)
	ach equally as an effective alternative, or combination o		analysis was performed:
Alternative 2:			
3. For the regulation,	and each alternative just described, enter the estimate	d total cost and overall cost-effectiveness rat	tio:
Regulation:	\$	Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$	
Alternative 1:	\$	Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$	
Alternative 2:	\$	Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$	
	FISCAL IMP	PACT STATEMENT	1000
A. FISCAL EFFECT (year and two subsequ	ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT (Indicate appropriate boxeuent Fiscal Years.)	es1 through 6 and attach calculations and as	sumptions of fiscal impact for the current
	enditures of approximately \$ in rticle XIII B of the California Constitution and Sections		
a. is pr	ovided in, Budget Act of	or Chapter	, Statutes of
b. will b	pe requested in the(FISCAL YEAR)	Governor's Budget for appropriation in Budge	et Act of
Section 6 of A	enditures of approximately \$ in the california Constitution and Sections of the California Constitution and Sections of the Federal mandate contained in	17500 et seq. of the Government Code beca	use this regulation:
b. imple	ments the court mandate set forth by the		
co	urt in the case of	vs,	
c. impl	ements a mandate of the people of this State expresse tion;	d in their approval of Proposition No.	at the (DATE)
d. is iss	ued only in response to a specific request from the		
		, which is/a	re the only local entity(s) affected;
e, will b	pe fully financed from the	(FEES, REVENUE, ETC.)	authorized by Section
	of the	**************************************	Code;
f. prov	ides for savings to each affected unit of local governme	ent which will, at a minimum, offset any addit	ional costs to each such unit;
g. crea	tes, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a new crime	or infraction contained in	
3. Savings of a	pproximately \$annually.		
4. No additional	costs or savings because this regulation makes only to	echnical, non-substantive or clarifying change	es to current law regulations.

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont. (STD. 399, Rev. 12/2008)

5 .	No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any local entity or program.					
6.	Other.					
B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT (Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.)						
1	. Additional expenditures of approximately \$ in the current State Fiscal Year. It is antic	ipated that State agencies will:				
	a. be able to absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.					
	b. request an increase in the currently authorized budget level for thefiscal year.					
2.	Savings of approximately \$ in the current State Fiscal Year.					
✓ 3.	No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any State agency or program.					
4.	Other.					
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS (Indicate appropriate boxes1 through 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.)						
impaot	ior die sanont year and two subsequent risear rears.)					
_						
1	. Additional expenditures of approximately \$ in the current State Fiscal Year.					
2.	Savings of of approximately \$ in the current State Fiscal Year.					
3. No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.						
4. Other.						
FISCAI	OFFICER SIGNATURE Junbura	DATE (e/7/2010				
	CY SECRETARY 1 OVAL/CONCURRENCE Jon & Shiche	DATE 7 June 2010				
	RTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER OVAL/CONCURRENCE	DATE				

- The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD.399 according to the instructions in SAM sections 6601-6616, and understands the
 impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or department not under an Agency Secretary must have the form signed by the highest
 ranking official in the organization.
- 2. Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal Impact Statement in the STD.399.